SS 44 (Rev. 12/07, NJ 5/08)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The IS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

	STRUCTIONS ON THE REVENSE OF THE FORM.					
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDANTS			
KARISSA L. DUNN		NCO FINANCIA	NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC.			
(b) County of Residence	of First Listed Plaintiff	County of Residence of	First Listed Defendant			
(c) Attorney's (Firm Na	me, Address, Telephone Number and Email Add	ress) NOTE: IN LAND	CONDEMNATION CASES, US	E THE LOCATION OF THE		
Craig Thor Kimmel, Kimmel & Silverman	=	LAND II Attorneys (If Known)	LAND INVOLVED Attorneys (If Known)			
30 E. Butler Pike Ambler, PA 19002						
(215) 540-8888		#				
II. BASIS OF JURISI	OICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	HI. CITIZENSHIP OF PI (For Diversity Cases Only)	RINCIPAL PARTIES	Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)		
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	■ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)	PT Citizen of This State D				
O 2 U.S. Government Defendant	 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III) 	Citizen of Another State (7	2 D 2 Incorporated and P of Business In A	Principal Place D 5 D 5 Another State		
		Citizen or Subject of a D Foreign Country	3 Cl 3 Foreign Nation	G 6 G 6		
IV. NATURE OF SUI	T (Place an "X" in One Box Only)					
CONTRACT	TORTS		•			
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	Slander 368 Asbestos Person Liability Liability 1340 Marine 1345 Marine Product Liability 2745 Marine Product Liability 370 Other Fraud 1350 Motor Vehicle 2355 Motor Vehicle 2365 Froperty Damag Product Liability 385 Property Damag	620 Other Food & Drug 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 of 630 Liquor Laws 640 R.R. & Truck 650 Airline Regs. 660 Occupational Safety/Health 690 Other LABOR 710 Fair Labor Standards Act 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations 730 Labor/Mgmt. Reporting & Disciosure Act 740 Railway Labor Act 740 Railway Labor Act 740 Railway Labor Litigation 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act 462 Naturalization Application 463 Habeas Corpus -	□ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 □ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 □ PROPERTY-RIGHTS □ 820 Copyrights □ 840 Trademark □ 840 Trademark □ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) □ 864 SSID Title XV) □ 865 RSI (405(g)) □ 865 RSI (405(g)) □ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRS.—Third Party 26 USC 7609	400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 810 Selective Service 50 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange 12 USC 3410 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 51 892 Economic Subilization Act 593 Environmental Matters 594 Energy Allocation Act 595 Freedom of Information Act 590 Oppeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice 5950 Constitutionality of State Statutes		
SR : Original D 2 F	tate Court Appellate Court	Reopened (speci	ferred from 6 Multidist 6 cr district Litigation	1 Judgment		
VI. CAUSE OF ACT	Circuthe U.S. Civil Statute under which you 15 U.S.C. Section 1692 Brief description of cause: Fair Debt Collection Practices A		al statutes unless diversity):			
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:			CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND	y if demanded in complaint: e: Ø Yes 🏻 No		
VIII. RELATED CAS	SE(S) (See instructions): JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER			
Explanation:	Č CICNIATIUM A	OF ATTORNEY/OF RECORD				
DATE	SIGNATURE	OF AT TORREYOF RECORD				

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to appropriate calendar.								
Address of Plaintiff: 333 1/2 Krny Street, Lancaste	C.OH 43130							
Address of Defendant: 507 Pruden tral Road, Hursham, PA 1904/4-2308								
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: 333 1/2 King Street, Lancaster, OH 43130								
(UsejReverse Side For Ad	• •							
Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation and	The state of the s							
(Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a))	Yes Note							
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities? RELATED CASE, IF ANY:	Yes No No							
Case Number: Judge	Date Terminated:							
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:								
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year	providensky sometowa disease in skill and a							
The man server to make to property mended in an earner numbered sun pending of within one yes	₩							
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior su	Yes No 14							
action in this court?	V							
2 Book at the transfer of the	Yes No D							
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier m	_ ' ' ' ' '							
terminated action in this court?	Yes No 📆							
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights	case filed by the same individual?							
	100-							
CIVIL: (Place ✓ in ONE CATEGORY ONLY) A. Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:							
1. ☐ Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts	1. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts							
2. ☐ FELA	2. Airplane Personal Injury							
3. ☐ Jones Act-Personal Injury	3. ☐ Assault, Defamation							
4. □ Antitrust	4. Marine Personal Injury							
5. D Patent	5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury							
6. D Labor-Management Relations	6. Other Personal Injury (Please							
•	specify)							
7. ☐ Civil Rights	7. Products Liability							
8. 🗆 Habeas Corpus	8. Products Liability — Asbestos							
9. Securities Act(s) Cases	9. □ All other Diversity Cases							
10. □ Social Security Review Cases	·							
11. CAll other Federal Question Cases 15 U.S. C \$1692	(Please specify)							
(Please specify) ARBITRATION CERTI	FICATION							
(Check Appropriate Cat								
1. Counsel of record do hereby certify [Pursuant tell and Civil Puls 27.3 Section 2(a)(2) that to the horse of multi-m								
Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and b \$150,000,000 exclusive of interest and costs:	elief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of							
Relief other than monetary damages is sought.								
DUT 5/17/10 (rate The man of	ドフムハ							
Attomoy-at-Law								
NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there	Attorney I.D.# thas been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.							
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or we except as noted above.	rithin one year previously terminated action in this court							
DATE: 5/17/10 Crais Thurst in mel	5710A							
Attorncy-at-Law)	Attorney l.D.#							
CIV. 609 (6/08)								

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

Telephone	FAX Numb	er	E-Mail Address		
(215)540-8888	800-863	3-1689	Kimme lo creditla	<u>N.(0</u> M	
5/17/10 Date			Karissa L. Dunn Attorney for	······································	
(f) Standard Management -	- Cases that do not	fall into any one	e of the other tracks.	$\langle \! \! \! \rangle$	
(e) Special Management – Commonly referred to as the court. (See reverse smanagement cases.)	s complex and that	need special or	intense management by	()	
(d) Asbestos – Cases involving claims for personal injury or property damage from exposure to asbestos.					
(c) Arbitration – Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2.					
(b) Social Security – Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits.					
(a) Habeas Corpus – Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 through § 2255.					
SELECT ONE OF THE F	OLLOWING CAS	SE MANAGEM	IENT TRACKS:		
plaintiff shall complete a Ca filing the complaint and serv side of this form.) In the of designation, that defendant s	se Management To e a copy on all defe event that a defend shall, with its first rties, a Case Mana	rack Designatior endants. (See § 1 dant does not ag appearance, sub- gement Track D	ection Plan of this court, couns Form in all civil cases at the tire to the court on the plan set forth on the regree with the plaintiff regarding mit to the clerk of court and ser esignation Form specifying the	ne of verse said ve on	
NCO Financial S	ystems, Inc.	: :	NO.		
Karissa L. Du	nn	: :	CIVIL ACTION		
/ . ~			C177 177 4 C1777 C 7		

(Civ. 660) 10/02

2

.

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

12

11

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

25

24

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE

EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

KARISSA L. DUNN :

Plaintiff, : JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

:

: No.

:

NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC. :

Defendant.

COMPLAINT

NOW COMES the Plaintiff, KARISSA L. DUNN, by and through her attorneys, KIMMEL & SILVERMAN, PC, for her complaint against the Defendant, NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC., Plaintiff states as follows:

I. <u>JURISDICTION & VENUE</u>

- 1. This is an action for damages brought by an individual consumer for Defendant's violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692, et seq. (hereinafter "FDCPA"), which prohibits debt collectors from engaging in abusive, deceptive, and unfair practices.
- 2. Jurisdiction of this court arises pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d), which states that such actions may be brought and heard before "any appropriate United States District Court without regard to the amount in controversy," and 28 U.S.C. § 1331 grants this court original jurisdiction of all civil actions arising under the laws of the United States.
- Defendant conducts business within the State of Pennsylvania and therefore,
 personal jurisdiction is established.

11 12

13

15

14

16 17

18 19

20

22

21

23

24 25

- Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(1). 4.
- 5. Declaratory relief is available pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202.

II. **PARTIES**

- 6. KARISSA L. DUNN, (hereinafter, "Plaintiff") is an individual who was at all relevant times a resident of Lancaster, Ohio.
- 7. NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC., (hereinafter, "defendant") is a business entity engaged in the collection of debts with a business address located at 507 Prudential Road, Horsham, PA 19044-2308.
- At all relevant times, Plaintiff is a "consumer" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. 8. §1692a(3).
- At all relevant times, Defendant acted as a "debt collector" as that term is defined 9. by 15 U.S.C. §1692a(6), in that it held itself out to be a company collecting a consumer debt allegedly owed by Plaintiff to Defendant.

III. PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

The Fair Debt Collection Practices Act ("FDCPA") is a comprehensive statute 10. which prohibits a catalog of activities in connection with the collection of debts by third parties. See 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq. The FDCPA imposes civil liability on any person or entity that violates its provisions, and establishes general standards of debt collector conduct, defines abuse, and provides for specific consumer rights. 15 U.S.C. § 1692k. The operative provisions of the FDCPA declare certain rights to be provided to or claimed by debtors, forbid deceitful and

misleading practices, prohibit harassing and abusive tactics, and proscribe unfair or unconscionable conduct, both generally and in a specific list of disapproved practices.

- contrary to its stated purpose, and forbids debt collectors from taking such action. The substantive heart of the FDCPA lies in three broad prohibitions. First, a "debt collector may not engage in any conduct the natural consequence of which is to harass, oppress, or abuse any person in connection with the collection of a debt." 15 U.S.C. § 1692d. Second, a "debt collector may not use any false, deceptive, or misleading representation or means in connection with the collection of any debt." 15 U.S.C. § 1692e. And third, a "debt collector may not use unfair or unconscionable means to collect or attempt to collect any debt." 15 U.S.C. § 1692f.

 The FDCPA is designed to protect consumers from unscrupulous collectors, whether or not there exists a valid debt, broadly prohibits unfair or unconscionable collection methods, conduct which harasses, oppresses or abuses any debtor, and any false, deceptive or misleading statements in connection with the collection of a debt.
- 12. In enacting the FDCPA, the United States Congress found that "[t]here is abundant evidence of the use of abusive, deceptive, and unfair debt collection practices by many debt collectors," which "contribute to the number of personal bankruptcies, to marital instability, to the loss of jobs, and to invasions of individual privacy." 15 U.S.C. § 1692a. Congress additionally found existing laws and procedures for redressing debt collection injuries to be inadequate to protect consumers. 15 U.S.C. § 1692b.

13. Congress enacted the FDCPA to regulate the collection of consumer debts by debt collectors. The express purposes of the FDCPA are to "eliminate abusive debt collection practices by debt collectors, to insure that debt collectors who refrain from using abusive debt collection practices are not competitively disadvantaged, and to promote consistent State action to protect consumers against debt collection abuses." 15 U.S.C. § 1692e.

IV. <u>ALLEGATIONS</u>

- 14. At all pertinent times hereto, Defendant was hired to collect a debt allegedly owed to a third party.
- 15. The alleged debt at issue arose out of transactions that were primarily for personal, family or household, purposes.
- 16. Beginning on or around February 13, 2010, Defendant, its agents, employees, etc., engaged in debt collection activities seeking payment from Plaintiff.
- 17. Defendant, its agents and employees harassed the Plaintiff by making continuous calls to her cell phone number from (513)602-4319, as late as 9:00pm.
- 18. Defendant, its agents and employees called Plaintiff anywhere between two (2) calls per day and sometimes as many as ten (10) calls per week.
- 19. Plaintiff told Defendant to stop contacting her; however, Defendant ignored Plaintiff's instructions and continued to contact her.
- 20. Plaintiff never contracted with any company named "NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC." or agreed to any obligation to a "NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC.".

2

3

4

5 6

7

8 9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

21

20

22

23 24

25

21.

V. CONSTRUCTION OF APPLICABLE LAW

Defendant continues to attempt to collect the alleged debt.

- 22. The FDCPA is a strict liability statute. Taylor v. Perrin, Landry, deLaunay & Durand, 103 F.3d 1232 (5th Cir. 1997). "Because the Act imposes strict liability, a consumer need not show intentional conduct by the debt collector to be entitled to damages." Russell v. Equifax A.R.S., 74 F. 3d 30 (2d Cir. 1996); see also Gearing v. Check Brokerage Corp., 233 F.3d 469 (7th Cir. 2000) (holding unintentional misrepresentation of debt collector's legal status violated FDCPA); Clomon v. Jackson, 988 F. 2d 1314 (2d Cir. 1993).
- 23. The FDCPA is a remedial statute, and therefore must be construed liberally in favor of the debtor. Sprinkle v. SB&C Ltd., 472 F. Supp. 2d 1235 (W.D. Wash. 2006). The remedial nature of the FDCPA requires that courts interpret it liberally. Clark v. Capital Credit & Collection Services, Inc., 460 F. 3d 1162 (9th Cir. 2006). "Because the FDCPA, like the Truth in Lending Act (TILA) 15 U.S.C § 1601 et seq., is a remedial statute, it should be construed liberally in favor of the consumer." Johnson v. Riddle, 305 F. 3d 1107 (10th Cir. 2002).
- The FDCPA is to be interpreted in accordance with the "least sophisticated" 24. consumer standard. See Jeter v. Credit Bureau, Inc., 760 F.2d 1168 (11th Cir. 1985); Graziano v. Harrison, 950 F. 2d 107 (3d Cir. 1991); Swanson v. Southern Oregon Credit Service, Inc., 869 F.2d 1222 (9th Cir. 1988). The FDCPA was not "made for the protection of experts, but for the public - that vast multitude which includes the ignorant, the unthinking, and the credulous, and the fact that a false statement may be obviously false to those who are trained and experienced

7

9

8

11

10

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

does not change its character, nor take away its power to deceive others less experienced." Id.

The least sophisticated consumer standard serves a dual purpose in that it ensures protection of all consumers, even naive and trusting, against deceptive collection practices, and protects

collectors against liability for bizarre or idiosyncratic interpretations of collection notices.

Clomon, 988 F. 2d at 1318.

COUNT I

(Violation of the Federal Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. 1692 et seq.)

- 25. In its attempts to collect the debt allegedly owed by Plaintiff, Defendant violated the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. §1692 in one or more of the following ways:
 - a. Defendant violated FDCPA §1692c(a)(1), when it contacted the Plaintiff at an unusual time or place or a time and place known or should be known to be inconvenient to the Plaintiff in connection with the collection of a debt;
 - b. Defendant violated FDCPA §1692d, when it engaged in conduct the natural consequence of which is to harass, oppress, or abuse any person in connection with the collection of a debt;
 - c. Defendant violated the FDCPA §1692d(5), when it caused the Plaintiff's telephone to ring repeatedly or continuously with the intent to harass, annoy or abuse Plaintiff;
 - d. Defendant violated the FDCPA §1692e, when it used false, misleading and deceptive means in connection with the collection of an alleged debt;
 - e. Defendant violated the FDCPA §1692f, through its agents and employees, by using unfair and deceptive means to collect a debt; and
 - f. Was otherwise deceptive and failed to comply with the provisions of the FDCPA.

VI. JURY DEMAND

1 26. Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury on all issues so triable. 2 3 VII. PRAYER FOR RELIEF 4 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, KARISSA L. DUNN, by and through her attorneys, 5 respectfully pray for judgment as follows: 6 7 All actual compensatory damages suffered; 8 Statutory damages of \$1,000.00 for each violation; b. 9 Plaintiff's attorneys' fees and costs; and c. 10 Any other relief deemed appropriate by this Honorable Court. d. 11 12 Respectfully submitted, 13 14 Date: May 17, 2010 By:/s/ Craig Thor Kimmel Craig Thor Kimmel 15 Attorney ID # 57100 Kimmel & Silverman, P.C. 16 30 E. Butler Pike Ambler, PA 19002 17 Phone: (215) 540-8888 Fax: (215) 540-8817 18 Email: kimmel@creditlaw.com 19 20 21 22 23 24 25